

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,505	08/04/2003	Carlos Daniel Jaffe	51,179	7522	
75	90 04/14/2006		EXAMINER		
Neil John Graham 6017 Lido Lane			STOKES, CANDICE CAPRI		
Long Beach, CA 90803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732	3732 DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/632,505	JAFFE, CARLOS DANIEL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Candice C. Stokes	3732	

	Candice C. Stokes	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE better) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• -	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-6,13-15,22-24 and 28</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,8-12,17-21,26 and 27.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)			
13. Other:	Line	E.O'Connor	07-		
	Cary Prime	E.O'Connor try Examiner			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The final rejection was proper and the arguments submitted After Final are not persuasive because the term "solid prophy paste" inherently provides that the matter dispensed is paste-like and any paste has at least some fluidity to allow the paste to flow. It is unclear how the claimed invention can be both a solid and a paste as recited.